GUILFORD COUNTY UPDATE SCHOOL BOND & TAX INFORMATION



FIX OUR SCHOOLS, FUND OUR FUTURE

2021 NATIONAL SCHOOL PUBLIC RELATIONS COMMUNICATION AWARDS

GOLD MEDALLION ENTRY - BOND/FINANCE CAMPAIGN

Guilford County Schools – Greensboro, North Carolina PreK-12 Urban District | 70,000 Students Chief of Staff/District Relations Department (7 Team Members)

Nora Carr, EdD, APR, Chief of Staff Guilford County Schools 711 North Elm Street Greensboro, NC 27401 (336) 370-8106 | carrn@gcsnc.com



SYNOPSIS

About Guilford County Schools

Guilford County Schools (GCS) is located in Greensboro, North Carolina, and serves 70,000 PK-12 students at 126 schools. With approximately 9,800 employees, GCS works in partnership with parents, businesses, colleges and the community to deliver an education that transforms learning and life opportunities. The district and its <u>globally</u> <u>diverse students and staff</u> consistently <u>win national recognition</u>. GCS also takes pride in its longstanding commitment to equity and serving all students well.

Fix Our Schools, Fund Our Future

The district's facilities, however, do not reflect the quality of education provided. With an average building age of 54 years, most schools were built prior to the first lunar landing, the creation of the first personal computer and the invention of the internet.

A recent facilities study found than 50% of the district's schools and facilities were in either poor or unsatisfactory condition. Dozens of "temporary" mobile classrooms have been in place in excess of 30 years, and the district's outdated technology, wiring, plumbing, roofs and HVAC systems fail frequently.

To improve the learning environment for students and staff, while also increasing operational efficiencies and decreasing utility and repair costs, the district developed a Master Facilities Plan in 2019 that identifies more than \$2 billion in unmet capital needs.

After working with the Guilford County Board of Education and district administrators for more than two years, Guilford County Commissioners placed two school finance measures on the November 3, 2020 ballot – a \$300 million school bonds referendum and a ¼-cent local sales tax referendum that would generate up to \$19 million per year, with proceeds going to pay the bond debt. Per state law, however, counties aren't allowed to specify how the revenue will be used on the ballot.

While leading school finance campaigns are always challenging, as the communication's team ongoing program of work continues unabated, doing so in the midst of a pandemic added new layers of complexity. Staying focused, working the plan and tapping into deep reservoirs of goodwill built through years of strategic school communications helped secure a much-needed win for GCS students, their families and the community.





average age of district buildings





PROGRAM SUMMARY

About GCS' District Relations Team

Although GCS is North Carolina's third largest school district and ranks 50th nationally out of more than 14,000 school districts, its communication team is "small but mighty," with just seven members, including the chief of staff.

Overview/Goals for "Fix Our Schools, Fund Our Future"

Goal 1

Increase awareness among targeted internal and external publics, particularly employees, opinion leaders and likely "yes" voters about the district's extensive capital needs and \$300 million/1/4-cent sales tax referenda on the November 3rd ballot addressing the school district's extensive facility needs.

Goal 2

Increase awareness among targeted internal and external publics that there is unified bi-partisan community support for both ballot initiatives.



Goal 3

Encourage GCS employees, parents, eligible students, volunteers and partners to vote and remind them of the importance of making their opinions known by voting.

Campaign Measures

Benchmarks/Progress Indicators

- Plan tasks and deliverables completed on time, on budget and at/above expected quality.
- Successful message delivery to targeted audiences via major communication channels and platforms
 - Outreach, frequency and engagement metrics

Information Campaign Indicators and Outcomes

- Simple majority vote in favor of \$300 million bond vote
- Simple majority vote in favor of ¼-cent sales tax increase

Key Campaign Messages

- Our schools provide students with a great education, but the facilities are in terrible shape and need to be fixed.
- \$300 million in school bonds on the ballot represent an important first step in improving school facilities for all students.
- ¼-cent sales tax would generate \$19 million annually to improve GCS facilities and would help pay for school bonds.
- Our community is united in support of better facilities for our children there is bi-partisan, business and community support of the proposed bonds/sales tax increase.
- Make your voices heard; register and vote!

Priority Publics

GCS and Guilford County opinion leaders, employees, parents and likely "yes" voters. For additional details, please see the full <u>campaign action communications plan</u>.

- Parents express high satisfaction levels on <u>public opinion polls</u> regarding the district's overall direction, educational programming, school quality, principal leadership, teacher quality, gifted and magnet school programming, and other indicators that drive parent school selections.
- While both parents and community members rate the district favorably across a variety of measures, parent perceptions and ratings are higher than those of community members.
- Parent preferences in terms of information sources about the district/schools vary significantly from those of community members. Parent and community information sources also vary by race/ethnicity and income.
- Significantly, nine of 10 information sources cited by parents are communication channels controlled by school and district personnel, while community members are more reliant on the news media and other, non-GCS communication channels.
 - 80% of parents indicate that voicemails sent via the district's mass notification system is their top source of information for GCS news and information, followed email (35%), school personnel (28%), GCS website (13%), word of mouth (10%), social media (10%), their child/children (9%), school newsletters/fliers (8%), take home folders (7%), texts (6%) and TV news (5%).
 - 32% of community members cite TV news as their top information source, followed by word of mouth (29%), school personnel (22%), voice mail messaging (18%), newspapers (18%), their child/children (14%), email (10%), social media (10%), school newsletters/fliers (4%), GCS TV and radio news (1%).
- Despite operating in a highly competitive marketplace with an increasing number of charter schools and private school tuition vouchers, GCS serves nearly 80% of all families with school-aged children (U.S. Census Bureau, ACS 2019). However, only 31.5% of Guilford County residents have children aged 3 to 17 at home, which means that 68.5% no longer have a current, personal connection to district schools (U.S. Census Bureau, ACS 2019).
- While Guilford County voters are generally skeptical of tax increases, they are more likely to support



bond issues to improve local infrastructure. An in-depth <u>voter analysis</u> indicated that the most consistent yes voters resided within the Greensboro City limits, while voters within the High Point City limits were the most consistent no voters, followed by some of the more rural parts of the county.

- Mirroring national trends, early voters during the 2016 presidential election were more likely to vote Democratic (69%) and more likely to vote for bond initiatives for housing, parks, transportation, economic development and schools in previous elections than Republicans.
- A public opinion poll conducted in August by a local university funded through a private donor found that a majority (83%) of the county's registered voters would vote yes for the school bonds, while only 21% of the county's registered voters would vote for a measure to increase the local sales tax and use tax.

PLANNING

"As community leaders and elected officials in Guilford County, we stand united to support our schools. The time is now. We must join together to ensure that we have a safe and welcoming environment for our students to learn, grow and prepare for college, career and life. Strong schools create strong communities. Strong communities create a strong economy and a strong economy prepares us for a successful future. Visit Schoolbondfacts.com to learn more."

- GUILFORD COUNTY LEADERS

- The \$899,635 facility study marked a turning point for GCS. It was the first such study conducted by an outside firm and it was commissioned and funded jointly by the Board of Education and the Board of County Commissioners, at the request of the Superintendent Sharon L. Contreras – another first. Since joining GCS as superintendent in August of 2016, Dr. Contreras has prioritized improving communications and collaboration between the two elected bodies.
- Superintendent Contreras also convinced both boards to revitalize and relaunch a long-dormant joint facilities committee to review the study and work together to develop a financial plan to meet the district's extensive capital needs.
- The <u>data from the facilities study</u> was used to develop the district's <u>master facilities plan</u> to ensure an equitable approach to future capital improvements based on data rather than parent and community advocacy strength, the master plan prioritized facilities based on overall condition score. (See video: <u>Master Facilities Roundtable</u>)
- Elected officials, the Greensboro and High Point Chambers of Commerce, Guilford Education Alliance and other partners formed a Get Out the Vote (GOVT) committee – dubbed <u>the SMART Committee</u> – which met weekly to coordinate fundraising, polling, voter analyses, text messaging, social media boosts, mailings to likely yes voters and get out the vote mobilization. (Social Media – <u>Facebook</u>, <u>Instagram</u>, <u>Twitter</u>)
- A \$40,000 budget was established to provide the GCS and Guilford County communications staff with technical assistance support, including campaign branding, content development, graphic production, photography, paid social media boosts, printing and more targeted text, email and social media outreach to key constituents.
- In another first, County Commissioners also provided staff time and shifted prepaid advertising buys and part of its printing budget to support the "Fix Our Schools, Fund Our Future" campaign. Private funds supported the "vote yes" initiatives, which ran a separate campaign to avoid any conflicts of interest.
- Communications team met weekly as a department and with district leaders, elected officials, <u>SMART</u> campaign committee and county staff to plan, coordinate and monitor campaign implementation and early voting/absentee ballot data.

IMPLEMENTATION

Campaign Branding

The campaign colors were chosen to coordinate with and unify the GCS and Guilford County brands, subtly reinforcing a message of unity. The messaging and graphic treatments are simple, clear and direct, reflecting a practical, "no nonsense" approach.



Internal Communications

- A principal/supervisor campaign <u>communications toolkit</u> was developed to ensure consistency of message and branding and to make school-based communications easier.
- District, division and school staff meeting agendas included information about voter registration, absentee voting, early voting and campaign key messages.
- The superintendent discussed the campaign and the importance of voting with her Student, Teacher and Principal Advisory Committees; meetings switched to a virtual format due to the pandemic.
- Social studies curriculum specialist and social studies teachers partnered with two non-partisan, nonprofit organizations to encourage staff and eligible students to register to vote.
- Voter and campaign information was published regularly in <u>My GCS</u>, the district's weekly digital newsletter for employees and *Leadership Action Update*, the district's weekly digital newsletter for principals and district administrators.
- Emails, voicemail messages and text messages were regularly shared with employees.

Parent/Community Relations & Engagement

- <u>Guilford Parent Academy (GPA)</u> included bond/tax campaign information as part of its virtual programming and digital communications. (<u>View newsletter example</u>.)
- Guilford County of PTA sent emails and digital newsletters and hosted virtual meetings.
- Virtual meetings were held with the district's key communicators and other diverse stakeholder groups and priority publics.
- District representatives on community non-profit boards and professional associations shared bond/ tax information and asked those groups to share the information with their constituents.
- The district's 12,703 active volunteers received bond/tax increase information via email and were asked to help spread the word to others.
- Black fraternities and sororities and their strong alumni chapters were mobilized by the communications team and asked to educate their members about the bond/tax increase.

Community Support

Our Opinion: Yes to bonds for education (Greensboro News & Record, October 25, 2020)

Referendums put future on the ballot (The High Point Enterprise, October 24, 2021)

Letter to the Editor: Two Seniors from Page High School Share Why This Bond is Needed (Greensboro News & Record, November 1, 2020)

- The district's English Language department and interpreters translated campaign materials into the top five world languages spoken by GCS students (Spanish, Arabic, Urdu, Vietnamese and Nepali) and their families and worked with the Latino Coalition, Faith House International and other advocacy groups.
- The communications team maintains a **robust database** of district partners, elected officials, business leaders, executive directors of non-profit, youth, educational and health and human services organizations. With in-person contact limited due to the pandemic, this database fueled the district's digital outreach efforts.
- The Get Out the Vote (GOVT) committee contacted **pastors of major congregations** in precincts with high concentrations of likely yes voters and enlisted their support in promoting the bonds/tax increase to their congregations and local communities.

Digital and Online Communications

- GCS website: "Fix Our Schools, Fund Our Future" section, including links to the Board of Elections website, how to register to vote (and deadlines), photos, videos, principal portal information (intranet) and communications toolkit, facilities web pages (facility condition assessment study, master facilities plan, joint capital committee, 2008 bond updates). Also posted banners on the homepage and news stories in "District News" section.
- Alert buttons on each district and school page notified web visitors about important deadlines.
- Text messages and voting reminders were sent likely yes voters in targeted precincts.

Social Media

- The official hashtag for the campaign was **#GuilfordTogether** and posts to Twitter, Facebook and Instagram were scheduled through Hootsuite.
- GCSTV posted three impactful videos on YouTube: <u>School Bond Facts & Photos</u>; <u>School Bond Facts</u> and <u>Invest in a Bright Future-Guilford</u> <u>Education Alliance</u>.
- The Guilford Education Alliance also created a powerful video series, "<u>Invest in a Bright Future</u>," including testimonials and support from prominent business and community partners. Social media boosts were purchased in zip codes with high concentrations of likely "yes" voters.
- The superintendent and other staff posted/reposted/retweeted information about district needs, voter registration, absentee voting, early voting, and the importance of voting

Paid Media/Advertising

• Radio and television spots were produced and placed by Guilford County staff and featured county commissioners, school board members, students, teachers, parents, principals and community leaders. County staff purchased the advertising flights months in advance, well ahead of the general election crunch.

Direct Mail

• County staff worked with Strategic Link Partners to <u>create and</u> <u>mail information about the school bonds</u> and ¼-cent sales tax to likely "yes" voters in targeted precincts.



A sample of Instagram posts

Print

- The GCS print shop produced a large banner to hang outdoors at central office.
- The GCS print shop also printed fliers for distribution at community events, although these were curtailed due to the pandemic and public health limits on public gatherings.
- Guilford County staff produced yard signs that were distributed in strategic locations.

Media Relations

- Press releases and bond-related story ideas pitched to local reporters generated numerous stories and segments in every local news outlet (print, radio and TV); the communications team responded to daily bond/taxrelated press inquiries and interview requests.
- Both local newspapers endorsed the bonds and the %-cent tax increase, and featured bond-related content prominently.

In the Media

<u>Community leaders and elected</u> officials ask Guilford County residents to 'vote smart,' support our schools</u> (WGHP, October 22, 2020)

<u>Guilford County Schools bond</u> <u>referendum on the 2020 ballot</u> (WFMY News 2, October 23, 2020)

- <u>A joint press conference</u> featuring the superintendent, school board chair and the county commission vice chair was well attended and generated news coverage in both daily newspapers, public radio, three local TV news program and one statewide news program.
- The Get Out the Vote (GOVT) committee placed letters to the editors and op-eds in local newspapers in support of the bonds/tax increase.
- The impact of **positive media coverage** was extended by posting it on social media and sharing links with key stakeholders via emails and texts.

EVALUATION

The "Fix Our Schools, Fund Our Future" campaign was successful in meeting its goal of increasing awareness of the district's extensive facility needs. Voters approved the measure 72.52% to 27.48%.

The campaign also demonstrated that the school finance measures – and the district – had bi-partisan and unified support from elected officials and community leaders.

While the **quarter-cent sales tax increase** was not successful (<u>30.07% voted "yes" and 66.93% voted "no"</u>), the campaign forged greater inter-governmental cooperation and laid the groundwork for future school capital improvement and finance initiatives.

THANK YOU GUILFORD COUNTY VOTERS

\$300M in school bonds!



The campaign also tapped into <u>deep reservoirs of political capital and community goodwill</u> built through ongoing school communications and community engagement. See <u>Supplemental Materials Section</u> for specific data.

SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIALS AND RESOURCES I

Part A. Voter Results for "Fix Our Schools, Fund Our Future"

Part B. Campaign Action Plan

Part C. Frequently-Asked Questions

Part D. Campaign the Numbers

Part E. Flier with a statement of cooperation with Guilford County Board of Education & Guilford County Board of Commissioners

Part F. Flier for parents and community stakeholder about the campaign

Part G. Survey Data from Parents/Community (2018 - 2019)

Part H. Parent and Community Opinion Polls (2009 – 2017)

Part I. Voter Analysis Presentation



Download full Campaign Action Plan



Part C. Frequently Asked Questions

Download full FAQ document



Part D. Campaign by the Numbers



WEBSITE







veets

https://twitter.com/GuilfordBOE

posts

35

engagement



schools! Vist SchoolBondFacts.com #KeepLearningGCS #KeepLeadingGCS #ReopenGCS

#soarwithGCS

Twitter



Facebook

Guilford County Schools November 3, 2020 · 🕄

...

There's still time to vote! Polls are open until 7:30pm. There are 2 critical initiatives on the ballot: the \$300 million school bond and the quarter-cent sales and use tax.

Across GCS, you will find individual, diverse, deserving students - who have dreams, abilities and promise. When you support GCS, you, too, send the message that you believe in them and support their path to a bright future. THANK YOU! Visit SchoolBondFacts.com #KeepLearningGCS #KeepLeadingGCS #ReopenGC... See More



00 10

2 Shares

15

Delivery Results	Back to Sent <u>Prin</u>
Title	bond english spanish 102120
Message Type	Outreach
Site	GUILFORD COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT
Scheduled By	Nora Shoptaw
Send Time	21 October 2020 5:30PM (ET)
Voice Completed	21 October 2020 6:15PM (ET) (First attempt completed at 21 October 2020 5:38PM (ET))
Text Completed	21 October 2020 5:37PM (ET)
Email Completed	21 October 2020 5:33PM (ET)
Selected Contacts	80,474 Successfully reached 70,352 (87%)
Languages	English*
DEVICE DELIVERIES	
78% (45,566)	58,147
View each atte	npt
91% (38,846)	
	42,577
87% (813)	
	935

• • • • • • •

• • • • • • •

Part E. Flier with a statement of cooperation with Guilford County Board of Education & Guilford County Board of Commissioners

GUILFORD COUNTY UPDATE SCHOOL BOND & TAX INFORMATION



"As community leaders and elected officials in Guilford County, we stand united to support our schools. The time is now. We must join together to ensure that we have a safe and welcoming environment for our students to learn, grow and prepare for college, career and life. Strong schools create strong communities. Strong communities create a strong economy and a strong economy prepares us for a successful future. Visit SchoolBondFacts.come to learn more."

- Guilford County Board of Education & Guilford County Board of Commissioners

FIXING OUR SCHOOLS FUNDING OUR FUTURE

www.schoolbondfacts.com

GUILFORD COUNTY SCHOOLS SCHOOL BOND AND TAX INFORMATION

During the November 2020 election, voters will consider a \$300 million school bond and a 1/4-cent sales and use tax. Both would help fund the first phase of critical infrastructure projects in keeping with GCS' comprehensive master facilities plan.

- Healthy, safe and updated schools will benefit all Guilford County residents. The average school in GCS is 54 years old with outdated systems for heating and cooling, plumbing, wiring, school design and technology that don't meet the needs today's students.
- The \$300 million bond is a critical first step to meeting our shared needs. It will fund the first phase of urgently needed projects to ensure all Guilford County students have a safe, quality learning environment for years to come.
- Within a fiscal year, the quarter-cent sales and use tax will generate approximately \$19 million for school construction and renovation needs. See schoolbondfacts. com for more information.



"As community leaders and elected officials in Guilford County, we stand united to support our schools. The time is now. We must join together to ensure that we have a safe and welcoming environment for our students to learn, grow and prepare for college, career and life. Strong schools create strong communities. Strong communities create a strong economy and a strong economy prepares us for a successful future. Visit SchoolBondFacts.come to learn more."

- Guilford County Board of Education & Guilford County Board of Commissioners





Learn more! www.schoolbondfacts.com

Part G. Survey Data from Parents/Community (2018 - 2019)

GCS PARENTS

87% say GCS keeps them **INFORMED**

80% say GCS does a good job EDUCATING STUDENTS



Parent Performance

Agree/Strongly Agree They're Doing a Good Job



CUILFORD

Top Sources of Information for Learning about System-Wide Issues (Q18-Q20) Parents and Community By Year Base=Total Sample

- C	ou	Inty	20	no	OIS	
						-

Parents By Year	2017	2018
Connect Ed: Voice mail	76%	80%
E-mail	32%	35%
School my child attends (teacher/principal/staff)	30%	28%
GCS Website, Internet	13%	13%
Word of mouth (Other parents, friends, coworkers)	4%	10%
Social media	4%	10%
My child/children	13%	9%
School newsletters, flyers	7%	8%
Take home folder	13%	7%
Texts from teacher, class Dojo app	1%	6%
TV (other than GCS TV)	1%	5%

Percentages highlighted in blue show a significant increase in mentions from 2017 to 2018, the percentage in red shows a significant decrease.

610	Community By Year	2017	2018
0%	TV (other than GCS TV)	27%	32%
5%	Word of mouth (Other parents, friends, coworkers)	25%	29%
8%	School my child attends (teacher/principal/staff)	16%	22%
3%	Connect Ed: Voice mail	19%	18%
0%	Newspapers	22%	18%
0%	My child/children	8%	1455
9%	E-mail	11%	10%
3%	Social media	6%	10%
14	GCS Website, Internet	10%	9%
3%	None	10%	6%
5%	School newsletters, flyers	3%	4%
	GCS TV	5%	1%
	Radio	4%	1%

increase in mentions from 2017 to 2018.

Research Strategies, Inc.

54

Perceptions of GCS School Your Child Attends (Q52-Q63) Parents by Year GUILFORD County Schools Base=Total sample, don't know responses dropped Mean Ratings

Scale: 1=Disagree Strongly to 10=Agree Strongly	Parents 2017	Parents 2018
Q52.1 would recommend my child's school to others.	8.4	8.2
Q53. I believe my child's school is safe.	8.6	8.3
Q54.1 believe my child is challenged academically.	8.1	8.0
Q55. I believe my child's school has high quality teachers.	8.3	8.2
Q56. I believe my child's school supports the development of good character.	8.8	8.5
Q57. My child's school makes parent involvement easy.	8.5	8.4
Q58. My child's school keeps me informed on how to be involved.	8.5	8.4
Q59. My child's school values parent involvement.	8.6	8.6
Q60. My child's school keeps me informed on how well my child is performing.	8,5	8.4
Q61. My child gets the individual attention needed for success.	7.9	7.7
Q62. I feel a part of the school community.	8.1	7.8
Q63. I am confident my child's school will help develop a well- rounded child.	8.4	8.1

52

· Red highlighting indicates a significant drop since 2017.

Part H. Parent and Community Opinion Polls (2009 - 2017)

2009 – 2017 Parent and Community Opinion Polls *Parent Trends*

	2014	2015	2016	2017
GCS keeps me informed (% agree, strongly agree)*	90%	87%	88%	88%
GCS is doing a good job	82%	78%	80%	82%
GCS schools are safe	83%	81%	80%	82%
GCS is headed in the right direction	82%	79%	80%	81%
GCS is responsive to requests*	82%	79%	80%	83%
GCS is doing a good job using taxpayer money to educate children	67%	69%	69%	71%
Quality of education (good, very good)	86%	84%	82%	85%
Performance of teachers (good, very good)	89%	85%	85%	86%
Performance of principals (good, very good)	85%	83%	82%	83%
Performance of regional superintendents (good, very good)	80%	80%	78%	N/A
Performance of superintendent (good, very good)	84%	85%	76%	N/A
Performance of Board of Education (good, very good)	74%	75%	70%	74%
Quality of magnet schools (good, very good)	89%	87%	78%	87%
Quality of choice or option schools (good, very good)	87%	87%	75%	88%
Quality of traditional schools (good, very good)	80%	77%	77%	80%
Quality of charter schools – not GCS (good, very good)	72%	71%	68%	76%
Quality of gifted programs (good, very good)	87%	90%	85%	85%
I plan to re-enroll my child at a GCS school next year*		92%	92%	91%
Confident that GCS has best school for my child			75%	78%
Good academic programs for college-bound students			81%	90%
Good CTE programs			80%	85%
Good music, visual and performing arts			81%	83%
Good services for students who require extra help or special education			74%	80%
GCS gives me enough school choices to meet my child's needs			83%	85%
*Strategic Plan 2016 Measure(s); New questions added for marketing purposes; aligned with message framework				

Community Trends

	2014	2015	2016	2017
GCS keeps me informed (% agree, strongly agree)*	72%	74%	69%	69%
GCS is doing a good job	68%	67%	69%	60%
GCS schools are safe	70%	66%	66%	64%
GCS is headed in the right direction	66%	63%	65%	58%
GCS is responsive to requests*	64%	71%	65%	59%
GCS is doing a good job using taxpayer money to educate children	58%	55%	54%	46%
Quality of education (good, very good)	68%	69%	71%	64%
Performance of teachers (good, very good)	82%	79%	83%	74%
Performance of principals (good, very good)	75%	76%	78%	70%
Performance of regional superintendents (good, very good)	70%	69%	74%	N/A
Performance of superintendent (good, very good)	71%	83%	79%	N/A
Performance of Board of Education (good, very good)	63%	67%	63%	54%
Quality of magnet schools (good, very good)	83%	88%	86%	79%
Quality of choice or option schools (good, very good)	68%	83%	80%	73%
Quality of traditional schools (good, very good)	66%	64%	68%	65%
Quality of charter schools – not GCS (good, very good)	63%	77%	76%	73%
Quality of gifted programs (good, very good)	83%	N/A	N/A	N/A

*Strategic Plan 2016 measure(s)

Part I. Voter Analysis Presentation

Download Full Presentation (Guilford County Election Data 2020)

																C	Co	rr	ela	tion	IS
L	Jnaffiliated vs Dem/Re	ep								P	arty & B	onds									
0.00													n v-	A.7							
•	2016 (Presidential F										- CONSIGNATION CONSIGNATICON CONSIGNATI CONSIGNATICON CONSIGNATICON CONSIGNATICON CONSIGNATICON CONSIGNATICON CONSIGNATI CONSIGNATICON CONSIGNATICON CONSIGNATI CONSIGNATICON	Clinton		1992							
	 At the precinct lev .98 correlation (1. In other words, un 	0 is perfect corre	elation) a	t precin	ct level						:		had a had a	.64 corr .76 corr	elation elation	– 2 are – 2 are	eas of (GSO vo	oted larg		n Clinton & No n Clinton & No
											2008	rimary	Den	ocrat	ic Car	ndida	te &	Yes)			
												School Bon						,	5.)		
																	unaffil	lated	to vote e	ither party,	
k	Key Takeaways																				
2.	Can use the Dem/R	an registrati	ion col	it to s	oo bo	w unaffi	liated c	houl	d vot	•											
	Can use the Dem/R	ep registrat	ion spi	it to s	ee no	w unam	nated s	noui		e											
•	 In general, those th There are precinct 				ratic	oresiden	tial can	didat	e mo	ore he	avily su	pported	the l	oonds							
						h a sa da															
	Around 9% of voter	rs dia not vo	te on	the sc	nool	bonds															
	Open Questions																				
-	open questions																				
																_					
•	 Can you track current 	ent election	absen	tee/e	arly v	oting by	precine	ct to	deter	mine	where t	to focus	on e	ection	n dav	?					
															,						
															,						
										3	inc.c.				,						
										3											
										3											
										3						a-0					
										3											
										3							La	an	ds	саре	2
										3							La	an	ds	саре	9
	Precincts									3							La	an	ds	саре	9
	Precincts									3							La	an	ds	саре	9
										3							La	an	ds	cape	9
	165 precincts									3							La	an	ds	саре	2
		rump favore	d prec	incts i	n 201	.6, but la	rger in	avg s	ize	3							La	an	ds	саре	2
	165 precincts						rger in	avg s	ize	3							La	an	ds	cape	9
	 165 precincts There are fewer Tr	precincts: 52 w/	avg vote	rs of 1,7	02 in 20	016	rger in	avg s	ize	3							La	an	ds	саре	2
	 165 precincts There are fewer Tr Trump majority ; 	precincts: 52 w/	avg vote	rs of 1,7	02 in 20	016	rger in	avg s	ize	3							La	an	ds	саре	9
	 165 precincts There are fewer Tr Trump majority ; 	precincts: 52 w/	avg vote	rs of 1,7	02 in 20	016	rger in	avg s	ize	3							Lä	an	ds	саре	9
	 165 precincts There are fewer Tr Trump majority Clinton majority 	precincts: 52 w/	avg vote	rs of 1,7 ters of 1	02 in 20	016 2016	-	avg s				2015 Voting Me	Pı				Lä	an	ds		5
Precing	165 precincts There are fewer Tr Trump majority Clinton majority	precincts: 52 w/ precincts: 113 w	avg vote // avg vo 	rs of 1,7 ters of 1, 2020 Regi <u>Rep</u>	02 in 20 ,471 in	016 2016 ata <u>Dther Total</u>	201 <u>Clinton</u>	6 Presider <u>Trump</u>	ntial Resul <u>Other</u>	ts T <u>otal</u>	Election Day	2015 Voting Me Early Voting	Pi	°ec	:in	ct	<u>% Rep 3</u>	6 Trump	Metrics	2av_ % Park Sond Y	ES % Park Bond Voted
SDRI	165 precincts There are fewer Tr Trump majority Clinton majority <u>Voting Facility</u> smith Grove Baptist Church	precincts: 52 w/ precincts: 113 w	avg vote // avg vot	2020 Regi 2020 Regi Rep 2,275	02 in 20 ,471 in istration Di <u>Una</u> <u>C</u> 1,865	016 2016 ata <u>Dther Total</u> 34 5,605	201 <u>Clinton</u> 1,357	6 Presider <u>Trump</u> 2,201	ntial Resul <u>Other</u> 157	ts T <u>otal</u> 3,715	Election Day 1,239	2016 Voting Me <u>Early Voting</u> 2,215	PI Mail 251	Сес	sin.	Ct	<u>% Rep 9</u> 41%	6 Trump 59%	Metrics <u>% Election [</u> 33%	200 <u>16 Park Bond Y</u> n/a	55 19 Park Bond Voted 기/a
SDRI RC2 FR1	165 precincts There are fewer Tr Trump majority Clinton majority <u>Votine Facility</u> Smith Grove Bagdist Church First Bagdist Church First Bagdist Church	precincts: 52 w/ precincts: 113 w <u>City</u> Colfax Whitsett Jamestown	<u>Dem</u> 1,431 1,929 2,133	2020 Regi Rep 2,275 1,619 961	02 in 20 ,471 in	016 2016 2016 2ther Total 34 5,605 32 5,240 33 4,546	201 <u>Clinton</u> 1,357 1,370 1,783	6 Presider <u>Trump</u> 2,201 1,548 938	ntial Resul <u>Other</u>	ts <u>Total</u> 3,715 3,064 2,836	Election Day	2015 Voting Me <u>Early Voting</u> 2,215 1,939		۲ ۵۵ ۱ ۱ ۱ ۱ ۱ ۱ ۱ ۱ ۱ ۱ ۱ ۱ ۱ ۱ ۱ ۱ ۱ ۱	25% 27%	ct	<u>% Rep 3</u>	6 Trump	Metrics	2av_ % Park Sond Y	ES % Park Bond Voted
SDRI RC2 FR 1 MON 3	165 precincts There are fewer Tr Trump majority Clinton majority Clinton majority Smith Grove Baptis Church Thirs Baptis Church of Whitset Unitarian Universalist Church Locust Grove Baptis Church	precincts: 52 w/ precincts: 113 w <u>City</u> Colfax Whitsett Jamestown Brown Summit	Dem 1,431 1,929 2,133 1,228	2020 Regi Rep 2,275 1,619 961 1,889	02 in 20 ,471 in stration Di Una 0 1,865 1,660 1,419 1,391	016 2016 2ther Total 34 5,605 32 5,240 33 4,546 27 4,535	201 <u>Clinton</u> 1,357 1,370 1,773 1,022	6 Presider <u>Trump</u> 2,201 1,548 938 1,947	ntial Resul Other 157 146 115 116	ts <u>Texal</u> 3,715 3,064 2,836 3,085	Election Day 1,239 806 807 1,015	2015 Voting Me <u>Early Voting</u> 2,215 2,082 1,939 1,956	PI	(CEC)	\$ Dem 25% 27% 47%	Ct	% Rep 2 41% 31% 21% 42%	<u>6 Trump</u> 59% 51% 33% 63%	Metrics <u>% Election (</u> 33% 29% 28% 33%	Jav <u>% Park Bond Y</u> n/a 71% n/a	<u>55 % Park Bord Voted</u> n/a n/a 81% n/a
SDRI RC2 FR1 MON3 STOK	165 precincts There are fewer Tr Trump majority Clinton majority Clinton majority <u>Votine Facility</u> Smith Growe Bagdist Church First Bagdist Church First Bagdist Church Joharian Universitatic Church Jocust Growe Bagdist Ourch Stokedale Town Hall	precincts: 52 w/ precincts: 113 w <u>Colfax</u> Whitsett Jamestown Browns Summit Stokesdale	Dem 1,431 1,929 2,133 1,228 786	2020 Regi 2020 Regi <u>Rep</u> 2,275 1,619 961 1,889 2,036	02 in 20 ,471 in stration D <u>Una</u> 0 1,865 1,660 1,419 1,391 1,451	016 2016 2ther Total 34 5,605 32 5,240 33 4,546 27 4,535 29 4,302	201 <u>Clinton</u> 1,357 1,370 1,783 1,022 735	6 Presider <u>Trump</u> 2,201 1,548 938 1,947 2,029	tial Resul Other 157 146 115 116 137	ts <u>Total</u> 3,715 3,065 3,085 2,901	Eisction Day 1,239 806 807 1,015	2015 Voting Me <u>Early Voting</u> 2,215 1,939 1,956	PI Mail 261 262 90 114 78	Texil 3,715 3,064 3,055 3,055	26% 27% 27% 27% 27%	Ct	% Rep 9 41% 31% 21% 42% 47%	6 Trump 59% 51% 33% 63% 70%	Metrics <u>% Election (</u> 33% 28% 33% 33%	- 	<u>55 % Park Bond Voted</u> η/a η/a δ156 η/a η/a
SDRI RC2 FR1 MON3 STOK JEF3 OR1	165 precincts There are fewer Tr Trump majority Clinton majority Clinton majority Clinton sequences Young Facility Smith Growe Bagdist Church First Bagdist Church First Bagdist Church Stockedale Town Hall Piedmont Bagdist Association Oak Ridge Town Hall	precincts: 52 w/ precincts: 113 w Colfax Whitsett Jamestown Browns Summit Stokasdale Greensboro Oak Ridge	Dem 1,431 1,929 2,133 1,228 2,617 737	rs of 1,7 ters of 1 2020 Regi Rep 2,275 1,619 961 1,889 2,036 416 1,871	02 in 20 ,471 in stration D 1,865 1,660 1,419 1,391 1,451 854 1,275	016 2016 2016 2016 2016 2016 2016 2017 2017 2017 2017 2017 2017 2017 2017	201 <u>Ginton</u> 1,357 1,370 1,783 1,022 735 2,257 831	6 Presider <u>Trump</u> 2,201 1,548 9,387 2,029 475 1,876	ntial Resul Other 157 146 115 116 137 68 104	ts Total 3,715 4,2,836 3,085 3,085 2,901 2,201	Election Day 1,239 806 807 1,015 899 642 477	2015 Voting Me <u>Early Voting</u> 2,215 1,939 1,956 1,924 2,216 2,256	PI	Tetal 3,755 3,064 3,055 2,886 3,055 2,881	56 Dem 26% 47% 27% 47% 67% 67%	Ct	<u>% Rep</u> 2 41% 21% 42% 47% 42%	6 Trump 59% 33% 63% 70% 67%	Metrics <u>56 Election (</u> 336 29% 33% 33% 23% 17%	- ayy <u>55 Park Bond Y</u> n/a 7356 n/a n/a n/a n/a	<u>55</u> <u>% Park Bond Voted</u> η/a η/a δ156 η/a η/a η/a
SDRI RC2 FR1 MON3 STOK JEF3 OR1 FEN1	165 precincts There are fewer Tr Trump majority Clinton majority Clinton majority Votine Facility Smith Grove Baptis Church Initra Baptis Church of Whitset Unkarian Universalist Church Locust Grove Baptis Church Stokedale Texn Hall Pekmone Baptis Masch Dak Ridge Texn Hall Parvn Rezeration Center	precincts: 52 w/ precincts: 113 w Colfax Whitsett Jamestown Browns Summit Stolwaddie Greensboro Oak Ridge Greensboro	Dem 1,431 1,228 786 2,617 737 2,228	2020 Regi Rep 2,275 1,619 961 1,889 2,036 416 1,871 676	02 in 20 ,471 in istration Di Una 2 1,865 1,660 1,419 1,391 1,451 1,275 945	016 2016 2ther Total 34 5,605 32 5,240 33 4,546 27 4,535 29 4,302 9 3,896 23 3,906 18 3,867	201 <u>Clinton</u> 1,357 1,370 1,783 1,022 735 2,257 831 1,940	6 Presider <u>Trump</u> 2,201 1,548 938 1,947 2,029 475 1,876 768	ntial Resul Other 157 146 115 116 137 68 104 84	ts Totall 3,715 3,064 3,085 2,931 2,931 2,931 2,931 2,931	Election Day 1,239 869 807 1,015 899 642 477 488	2015 Vating MA <u>Early Vating</u> 2,052 2,052 1,959 1,956 1,954 2,256 2,236	PI	Texil 3,715 3,064 2,886 2,800 2,811 2,800 2,811	51 Dem 51 Dem 57% 57% 18% 57% 18% 57% 18% 57% 18% 57% 18% 57% 18% 57% 18% 57% 18% 57% 18% 57% 18% 18% 18% 18% 18% 18% 18% 18	Ct	<u>% Rep 9</u> 41% 31% 21% 42% 42% 42% 42% 11% 48% 17%	6 Trump 59% 51% 33% 63% 70% 17% 67% 28%	Metrics <u>% Election [</u> 33% 23% 33% 23% 23% 17% 18%	ar <u>5 Park Bond Y</u> n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a 2256	<u>ES</u> 1 <u>5</u> Park Bord Voted n/a 8156 n/a n/a n/a 5256
SDRI RC2 FR1 MON3 STOK JEF3 OR1	165 precincts There are fewer Tr Trump majority Clinton majority Clinton majority Votne Facility Smith Grow Bagdis Church First Bagdis Church First Bagdis Church Stokeddal Forw Hall Piedmont Bagtist Association Oak Ridge Town Hall Brown H	precincts: 52 w/ precincts: 113 w Coffax Whitsett Jamestown Browns Summit Stokesdale Greensboro Oak Ridge Greensboro	Dem 1,431 1,929 2,133 1,228 2,617 737	rs of 1,7 ters of 1 2020 Regi Rep 2,275 1,619 961 1,889 2,036 416 1,871	02 in 20 ,471 in stration D 1,865 1,660 1,419 1,391 1,451 854 1,275	016 2016 2016 2016 2016 2016 2016 2017 2017 2017 2017 2017 2017 2017 2017	201 <u>Ginton</u> 1,357 1,370 1,783 1,022 735 2,257 831	6 Presider <u>Trump</u> 2,201 1,548 9,387 2,029 475 1,876	ntial Resul Other 157 146 115 116 137 68 104	ts Total 3,715 4,2,836 3,085 3,085 2,901 2,201	Election Day 1,239 806 807 1,015 899 642 477	2015 Voting Me <u>Early Voting</u> 2,215 1,939 1,956 1,924 2,216 2,256	PI	Tetal 3,755 3,064 3,055 2,886 3,055 2,881	56 Dem 26% 47% 27% 47% 67% 67%	Ct	<u>% Rep</u> 2 41% 21% 42% 47% 42%	6 Trump 59% 33% 63% 70% 67%	Metrics <u>56 Election (</u> 336 29% 33% 33% 23% 17%	287 <u>56 Park Boot 1</u> n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a 7255 7065	ES 15 Park Bond Voted n/a n/a 154 n/a n/a n/a n/a 5296
SDRI RC2 FR1 MON3 STOK JEF3 OR1 FEN1 G21 SF4 G50	165 precincts There are fewer Tr Trump majority Clinton majority Clinton majority Clinton septist Cruch Smith Grows Begist Cruch First Begist Cruch Stokedda Forw Hal Redmont Balt Association Oak Ridge Town Hal Brown Recreation Center Meedenhall Middle School Reasent Ridge Christin Church Stohr Sunded Rehodist Cruch	City Coffax Whitest Jamestown Browns Summit Stokadalle Greentboro Greentboro Greentboro Greentboro	Dem 1,431 1,929 2,133 1,228 706 2,617 737 2,228 1,540 2,547 4,540 2,160	2020 Regi 2020 Regi 2,275 1,619 961 1,889 2,036 416 1,871 676 1,064 1,483 2,287	02 in 20 ,471 in istration Di 1,865 1,419 1,451 1,451 1,451 1,451 1,455 1,660 1,419 1,451 1,455 1,650 1,419 1,451 1,451 1,650 1,419 1,451 1,650 1,419 1,451 1,650 1,411 1,650 1,411 1,651 1,651 1,650 1,411 1,655 1,650 1,411 1,655 1,650 1,411 1,655 1,650 1,411 1,655 1,650 1,411 1,655 1,650 1,419 1,655 1,650 1,419 1,655 1,650 1,419 1,655 1,650 1,419 1,655 1,650 1,419 1,655 1,650 1,419 1,655 1,650 1,419 1,655 1,650 1,419 1,655 1,075	0016 2016 2016 34 5,605 32 5,240 33 4,546 27 4,535 29 4,302 9 3,896 18 3,867 18 3,805 18 3,805 18 3,805 18 3,805 29 3,667 29 3,647	201 <u>Clinton</u> 1,357 1,370 1,783 1,022 735 2,257 831 1,940 1,404 1,021 1,572	6 Presider 2,201 1,548 938 1,947 2,029 475 1,876 768 986 1,670 340	ttial Resul Other 157 146 115 116 137 68 104 84 119 127 79	ts <u>Total</u> 3,715 2,801 2,802 2,801 2,901 2,902 2,811 2,792 2,818 1,259 2,818 1,259	Election Day 1,239 806 807 1,015 899 642 477 151 854 854 854 854	2015 Voting Me <u>Early Voting</u> 2,215 1,939 1,956 1,924 2,265 2,256 2,256 2,256 1,615 1,864 1,111	thod Mail 261 263 263 263 263 263 263 263 263 263 263	Tetal 3,715 3,046 3,087 2,000 2,001 2,752 2,001 2,752 2,011	50 Dem 26% 27% 10% 55% 55% 55%	Ct	<u>% Rep 2</u> 41% 31% 21% 42% 47% 11% 29% 40% 86	6 Trump 59% 51% 33% 70% 17% 63% 59% 59%	Metrics <u>96 Election [</u> 3366 2966 3366 3366 3366 3366 3366 3066 4226	2 n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a 706 706 706 706 706	E5 15 Park Bond Voted n/a 815 n/a n/a n/a 966 n/a 924
SDRI RC2 FR1 MON3 STOK JEF3 OR1 FEN1 G21 SF4 G50 RC1	Source of the second seco	CEEV Colfax Whiteet Januardown Browns Summit Stokadale Greensboro Oak Ridge Greensboro	Dem 1,431 1,228 2,133 1,228 2,617 737 2,228 1,540 854 2,160 854 2,160 1,471	2020 Regi Rep 2,275 1,619 961 1,889 2,036 416 1,889 416 1,889 2,036 416 1,889 2,036 416 1,889 2,036 416 1,889 2,036 416 1,899 2,036 1,967 1,969	02 in 20 ,471 in istration D Una 2 1,865 1,660 1,419 1,391 1,419 1,391 1,451 1,275 945 1,077 1,307 1,307 1,307	0116 2016 2016 2017 2017 2017 2017 2017 2017 2017 2017	201 <u>Clinton</u> 1,357 1,370 1,783 1,022 735 2,257 831 1,940 1,621 1,572 1,237	6 Presider <u>Trump</u> 2,201 1,548 938 1,947 2,029 475 1,876 768 986 1,670 340 1,175	ntial Resul Other 157 146 115 116 137 68 104 84 119 127 79 72	ts Total 3,715 3,065 3,065 3,085 3,085 2,850 2,850 2,850 2,850 1,991 2,951 2,951 2,951 2,951 2,951 2,952 2,554 2,955 2,655 2,555	Election Day 1,233 807 1,015 899 642 477 1,854 845 845 845	2015 Vating MA <u>Early Vating</u> 2,215 2,029 1,956 1,956 2,256 2,256 1,516 1,564 1,516	thod 178 178 178 100 15 100 15 100 15 100 15 100 15 100 15 100 100	Tetal 3,715 4,2885 2,800 2,811 2,800 2,811 2,800 2,811 2,800 2,811 2,800 2,811 2,800 2,811 2,800 2,811 2,800 2,811 2,800 2,811 2,800 2,811 2,800 2,811 2,800 2,811 2,800	8 Dem 8 Dem 9 26% 97% 10% 10% 10% 10% 5% 5% 42% 5% 5% 41%	Ct	<u>% Rep 5</u> 41% 31% 21% 42% 47% 48% 47% 48% 48% 8% 29%	5 Trump 59% 53% 63% 67% 67% 67% 59% 59% 59%	Metrics <u>54 Election (</u> 33% 28% 33% 33% 33% 33% 33% 33% 33% 33% 33% 3	2007 <u>55 Park Bord 7</u> n/a n/a n/a n/a 7256 n/a 7256 n/a 7256 n/a 7356 n/a	E <u>5</u> 1 <u>5</u> Park Bord Voted n/a 8156 n/a n/a 5256 9666 n/a 9286 n/a
SDRI RC2 FR1 MON3 STOK JEF3 OR1 FEN1 G21 SF4 G50 RC1 G45	165 precincts There are fewer Tr Trump majority Clinton majority Clinton majority Clinton septist Cruch Smith Grows Begist Cruch First Begist Cruch Stokedda Forw Hal Redmont Balt Association Oak Ridge Town Hal Brown Recreation Center Meedenhall Middle School Reasent Ridge Christin Church Stohr Sunded Rehodist Cruch	City Coffax Whitest Jamestown Browns Summit Stokadalle Greentboro Greentboro Greentboro Greentboro	Dem 1,431 1,929 2,133 1,228 706 2,617 737 2,228 1,540 2,547 4,540 2,160	2020 Regi 2020 Regi 2,275 1,619 961 1,889 2,036 416 1,871 676 1,064 1,483 2,287	02 in 20 ,471 in istration Di 1,865 1,419 1,451 1,451 1,451 1,451 1,455 1,660 1,419 1,451 1,455 1,650 1,419 1,451 1,451 1,650 1,419 1,451 1,650 1,419 1,451 1,650 1,411 1,650 1,411 1,651 1,651 1,650 1,411 1,655 1,650 1,411 1,655 1,650 1,411 1,655 1,650 1,411 1,655 1,650 1,411 1,655 1,650 1,419 1,655 1,650 1,419 1,655 1,650 1,419 1,655 1,650 1,419 1,655 1,650 1,419 1,655 1,650 1,419 1,655 1,650 1,419 1,655 1,650 1,419 1,655 1,075	0016 2016 2016 34 5,605 32 5,240 33 4,546 27 4,535 29 4,302 9 3,896 18 3,867 18 3,805 18 3,805 18 3,805 18 3,805 29 3,667 29 3,647	201 <u>Clinton</u> 1,357 1,370 1,783 1,022 735 2,257 831 1,940 1,404 1,021 1,572	6 Presider 2,201 1,548 938 1,947 2,029 475 1,876 768 986 1,670 340	ttial Resul Other 157 146 115 116 137 68 104 84 119 127 79	ts <u>Total</u> 3,715 2,801 2,802 2,801 2,901 2,902 2,811 2,792 2,818 1,259 2,818 1,259	Election Day 1,239 806 807 1,015 899 642 477 151 854 854 854 854	2015 Voting Me <u>Early Voting</u> 2,215 1,939 1,956 1,924 2,265 2,256 2,256 2,256 1,615 1,864 1,111	PI thod <u>Mail</u> 261 262 90 114 78 53 78 178 178 100 35	Tetal 3,715 3,046 3,087 2,000 2,001 2,752 2,001 2,752 2,011	50 Dem 26% 27% 10% 55% 55% 55%	Ct	<u>% Rep 2</u> 41% 31% 21% 42% 47% 11% 29% 40% 86	6 Trump 59% 51% 33% 70% 17% 63% 59% 59%	Metrics <u>96 Election [</u> 3366 2966 3366 3366 3366 3366 3366 3066 4226	2 n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a 706 706 706 706 706	55 56 Park Bond Voted n/a n/a s156 n/a n/a 526 966 n/a 9246 n/a 9246 866
SDRI RC2 FR1 MON3 STOK JEF3 OR1 FEN1 G21 SF4 G50 RC1 G45 MON2A H25	165 precincts There are fewer Tr Trump majority Clinton majority Clinton majority Clinton majority Votne Eaclity Votne Vo	City Colfax Whiset Jamestown Browns Summit Stokaddle Greentboro Oak Ridge Greentboro Greentboro Greentboro Greentboro Greentboro Greentboro	2 control cont	2020 Regi Rep 2,275 1,619 961 1,871 676 1,064 1,483 207 1,062 207 405 2,036 416 1,064 1,064 1,052 207 452 1,571	02 in 20 ,471 in istration D. Uma 2 1,865 1,660 1,419 1,451 854 1,275 945 1,077 1,301 1,171 1,067 2,043 938 1,035	ata 22thar Testal 32thar Testal 32 5,240 33 4,546 27 4,505 29 3,647 29 3,806 18 3,807 18 3,807 29 3,667 29 3,667 29 3,667 29 3,667 29 3,667 29 3,667 20 3,699 21 3,499 21 3,499 21 3,499 21 3,499 21 3,499 21 3,499 21 3,499	201 <u>Qintan</u> 1,357 1,370 1,783 1,022 735 2,257 8,311 1,940 1,041 1,021 1,572 1,237 2,207 1,620 1,620	6 Presider <u>Trump</u> 2,201 1,548 938 1,947 2,029 475 1,876 768 986 1,670 340 1,175 353 546 1,487	ttial R esul <u>Other</u> 157 146 115 137 68 104 84 119 127 79 72 138 54 111	ts Totall 3,715 3,065 3,085 2,801 2,802 2,812 2,812 2,812 2,813 2,859 2,813 2,859 2,814 2,859 2,815 2,84	Election Day 1,239 806 807 1,015 854 477 854 854 854 854 854 660 612 633	2015 Voting Me <u>Early Voting</u> 2,215 1,954 1,956 1,956 1,516 1,616 1,616 1,616 1,616 1,616 1,616 1,616 1,616 1,616 1,155 1,871	thod <u>Mail</u> 261 265 90 114 78 100 35 99 12 43 144	Total 3,715 3,054 3,054 2,800 2,811 2,792 2,818 1,2792 2,818 2,819 2,818 2,819 2,818 2,819 2,818 2,819 2,818 2,819 2,818 2,819 2,818 2,819 2,818 2,819 2,818 2,819	50 Dam 26% 37% 27% 41% 58% 41% 59% 41% 59%	Ct	51 Rep 5 4 Ris 31% 47% 47% 47% 47% 8% 47% 8% 47% 9% 47% 9% 40% 81% 6% 6% 6% 40%	 Trump 5% 51% 33% 67% 77% 67% 77% 67% 77% 77%	Metrics <u>56 Election 1</u> 33% 23% 33% 23% 23% 23% 23% 22% 22	2 12 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15	E5 % Park Bond Voted n/a n/a 815 n/a n/a 966 n/a 926 n/a 866 n/a 866 n/a
SDRI RC2 FR1 MON 3 STOK JEF3 OR1 FEN1 G21 SF4 G20 RC1 G45 MON 2A H25 NCGR1	Source and the second sec	precincts: 52 w/ precincts: 113 w Confast Whitest Jamestown Browne Sammit Stohadale Greentboro Greentboro Greentboro Greentboro Greentboro Greentboro Greentboro Greentboro High Point Greentboro	Dem 1,431 1,929 2,133 1,228 786 2,617 797 2,228 7,100 7,010 7,000 7,010 7,00000000	2020 R egi Reg 2,275 1,619 961 1,839 2,036 416 1,671 676 1,064 1,483 287 1,052 207 462 1,371 1,465	02 in 20 ,471 in 1,865 1,660 1,419 1,419 1,419 1,451 1,419 1,451 1,551 1,551 1,551 1,551 1,551 1,551 1,551 1,551 1,551 1,551 1,551 1,555 1	ats 2016 2018 2018 2019 34 5,605 32 32 9 33 4,546 27 4,302 9 3,867 29 3,667 32 3,469 32 3,469 31 3,400 26 3,420	201 <u>Clinton</u> 1,357 1,370 1,735 2,257 831 1,940 1,404 1,021 1,572 1,237 2,307 1,620 1,620 1,650 8,46	6 Presider <u>Trump</u> 2,201 1,548 938 1,947 2,029 475 1,876 768 986 1,670 340 1,175 353 546 1,487 1,435	tial R esul Other 157 146 115 116 137 68 104 84 119 127 79 127 79 72 138 54 111 136	ts Totall 3,715 3,064 3,085 1,2830 2,830 1,951 2,292 2,513 1,951 2,292 2,513 1,951 2,292 2,213 2,213 2,214 2,215 2,214 2,215 2	Election Date 1,239 807 1,015 899 642 477 715 845 547 669 612 63 845 845 845 845 845 845 845 845 845 845	2015 Voting MA <u>EarlyVoting</u> 1015 2002 1939 1956 2236 2236 2236 1,864 1,111 1,838 2,117 1,555 1,871	thod Mail 261 263 263 263 263 263 263 263 263	Tetal 3715 3064 3065 3065 2080 2080 2000 2011 2000 2000 2000 2000	51 Dam 266 27% 27% 27% 27% 27% 27% 27% 27% 27% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25	Ct % Clireton 875% 45% 45% 45% 13% 45% 13% 45% 13% 25% 10% 25% 10% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25	<u>% Rep.</u> 2 41% 21% 42% 42% 42% 42% 42% 42% 40% 86 13% 96 66 13% 40% 43%	59% 59% 53% 33% 63% 28% 28% 25% 55%	Metrics <u>96 Election (</u> 33% 28% 33% 28% 33% 33% 33% 33% 33% 33% 33% 28% 28% 26% 24% 24% 24% 24% 24% 24% 24% 24% 24% 24	- 	<u>Es</u> <u>% Park Bord Voted</u> η/a 81% η/a η/a η/a 96% η/a 92% 96% η/a 92% η/a 86% η/a η/a η/a η/a η/a
SDRI RC2 FR1 MON3 STOK JEF3 OR1 FEN1 G21 SF4 G50 RC1 G45 MON2A H25	165 precincts There are fewer Tr Trump majority Clinton majority Clinton majority Clinton majority Votne Eaclity Votne Vo	City Colfax Whiset Jamestown Browns Summit Stokadale Greensboro Oak Ridge Greensboro Greensboro Greensboro Greensboro Greensboro Greensboro Greensboro Greensboro Greensboro Greensboro Greensboro Greensboro Greensboro Greensboro Greensboro Greensboro	2 control cont	2020 R egi Reg 2,275 1,619 961 1,839 2,036 416 1,671 676 1,064 1,483 287 1,052 207 462 1,371 1,465	02 in 20 ,471 in istration D. Uma 2 1,865 1,660 1,419 1,451 854 1,275 945 1,077 1,301 1,171 1,067 2,043 938 1,035	ata 22thar Testal 32thar Testal 32 5,240 33 4,546 27 4,505 29 3,647 29 3,806 18 3,807 18 3,807 29 3,667 29 3,667 29 3,667 29 3,667 29 3,667 29 3,667 20 3,699 21 3,499 21 3,499 21 3,499 21 3,499 21 3,499 21 3,499 21 3,499	201 <u>Qintan</u> 1,357 1,370 1,783 1,022 735 2,257 8,311 1,940 1,041 1,021 1,572 1,237 2,207 1,620 1,620	6 Presider <u>Trump</u> 2,201 1,548 938 1,947 2,029 475 1,876 768 986 1,670 340 1,175 353 546 1,487	ttial R esul <u>Other</u> 157 146 115 137 68 104 84 119 127 79 72 138 54 111	ts Totall 3,715 3,065 3,085 2,801 2,802 2,812 2,812 2,812 2,813 2,859 2,813 2,859 2,814 2,859 2,815 2,84	Election Day 1,239 806 807 1,015 854 477 854 854 854 854 854 660 612 633	2015 Voting Me <u>Early Voting</u> 2,215 1,954 1,956 1,956 1,516 1,616 1,616 1,616 1,616 1,616 1,616 1,616 1,616 1,616 1,155 1,871	thod <u>Mail</u> 261 265 90 114 78 100 35 99 12 43 144	Total 3,715 3,054 3,054 2,800 2,811 2,792 2,818 1,2792 2,818 2,819 2,818 2,819 2,818 2,819 2,818 2,819 2,818 2,819 2,818 2,819 2,818 2,819 2,818 2,819 2,818 2,819	50 Dam 26% 37% 27% 41% 58% 41% 59% 41% 59%	Ct	51 Rep 5 4 Ris 31% 47% 47% 47% 47% 8% 47% 8% 47% 9% 47% 9% 40% 81% 6% 6% 6% 40%	 Trump 5% 51% 33% 67% 77% 67% 77% 67% 77% 77%	Metrics <u>56 Election 1</u> 33% 23% 33% 23% 23% 23% 23% 22% 22	2 12 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15	E5 % Park Bond Voted n/a n/a 815 n/a n/a 966 n/a 926 n/a 866 n/a 866 n/a
SDRI RC2 FR1 MON 3 STOK JEF3 OR1 FEN1 G21 SF4 G50 RC1 G45 MON 2A H25 NCGR1 SF1 G48 G09	1655 precincts There are fewer Tr Trump majority Clinton majority Clinton majority Clinton majority Votne Eaclity	City Colfax Whisett Jamestown Browns Summit Stokadalle Greentboro Genentboro Greentboro Greentboro Greentboro Greentboro Greentboro Greentboro Greentboro Greentboro Greentboro Greentboro Greentboro Greentboro Greentboro Greentboro Greentboro Greentboro Greentboro Greentboro Greentboro	2 em 1,431 1,929 2,133 1,228 786 2,617 737 2,228 1,540 854 2,160 1,471 1,187 2,012 993 765 642 1,679 2,074	rs of 1,7 ters of 1, 2020 Regi Regi 2,275 1,619 961 1,889 2,036 416 1,871 1,064 1,481 287 1,062 207 1,052 207 462 1,371 1,466 1,520 378 302	02 in 20 ,471 in 1,865 1,660 1,419 1,391 1,451 1,451 1,451 1,451 1,451 1,451 1,451 1,451 1,451 1,451 1,451 1,451 1,451 1,451 1,301 1,171 1,067 2,043 2,043 1,157 1,182 1,286 919	total Testil 3ther Testil 3ther 5605 32 5405 33 4,546 27 4,535 29 4,305 23 3,906 18 3,867 29 3,667 29 3,667 29 3,677 29 3,677 21 3,499 27 3,731 13 4,400 26 3,774 27 3,771 15 3,280	201 <u>Gintan</u> 1,357 1,357 1,357 1,783 1,022 7355 2,257 8311 1,940 1,601 1,650 847 1,666 847 1,666	6 Presider <u>Trump</u> 2,201 1,548 1,947 2,029 938 1,947 1,876 1,670 340 1,175 353 546 1,487 1,487 1,528 4,022 2,98	ttial Resul <u>Other</u> 157 116 115 116 137 68 104 84 119 127 79 2 138 54 111 127 72 138 54 111 137 22 138 54 131 54 137 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24	ts <u>Issail</u> 3,715 4,2,836 2,801 2,811 2,792 2,481 2,200 2,481 2,200 2,481 2,200 2,481 2,200 2,483 2,201 2,483 2,205 2,483 2,215 2,483 2,215 2,483 2,215 2,483 2,215 2,483 2,215 2,483 2,215 2,483 2,215	Election Day 1,239 806 807 1,015 854 477 854 854 854 854 854 854 715 854 854 725 838 848 766 612 633 848 762 753 553	2015 Voting Me <u>Early Voting</u> 2,215 2,205 2,1939 1,956 1,956 1,956 1,956 1,516 1,516 1,516 1,516 1,516 1,517 1,359 1,357	thod <u>Mail</u> 261 50 114 78 25 59 99 912 24 3 78 20 05 59 99 912 24 3 76 24	Total 3,715 3,064 3,065 2,800 2,811 2,790 2,818 1,2799 2,818 2,200 2,811 2,796 2,818 2,209 2,818 2,209 2,818 2,209 2,818 2,209 2,818 2,209 2,818 2,209 2,818 2,209 2,818 2,209 2,818 2,209 2,818 2,209 2,818 2,209 2,818 2,209 2,818 2,209 2,818 2,209 2,818 2,209 2,819	50 Demm 2656 2755 2755 2755 2755 2755 2755 2755	6 Girson 8 Girson 87% 45% 37% 45% 37% 45% 37% 35% 20% 55% 55% 55% 55% 55% 55% 55% 5	91 Rate 2 41% 41% 31% 21% 47% 42% 47% 40% 40% 40% 40% 40% 40% 43% 40% 43% 40% 95% 95% 95%	6 Trump 59% 51% 63% 63% 67% 67% 67% 67% 59% 67% 47% 47% 62% 13% 62% 62% 12%	Metrics 54 Election 1 3 3% 2 3% 3 3% 3 3% 3 3% 3 3% 3 3% 3 3%	3ay 15 Park Bood 1 n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a 7255 7255 7255 7255 7255 7255 7255 725	E5 15 Park Bond Voted n/s 8156 n/s 1526 966 966 976 9255 976 9265 n/s 966 n/s 966 n/s 966 936
SDRI RC2 FR1 MON 3 STOK JEF3 OR1 FEN1 G21 G50 RC1 G50 RC1 G45 MON 2A H25 NCGR1 SF1 G48	Source of the second seco	CEX Class Class Whitest Whitest Stokestown Brown Summit Stokestown Greentboro Greentboro Greentboro Greentboro Greentboro Greentboro Greentboro Greentboro Greentboro Summerfield Greentboro Summerfield Greentboro	Dem 1,431 1,431 1,923 2,133 1,228 766 2,617 737 2,228 1,540 854 2,160 1,471 1,187 2,012 933 725 642 9,1629	2020 Regi Reg 2,275 1,619 961 1,619 963 1,676 1,676 1,676 1,676 1,676 1,676 1,676 1,483 287 1,483 287 1,483 207 462 207 462 207 462 207 462 207 378	02 in 20 ,471 in 1,865 1,660 1,391 1,419 1,391 1,419 1,391 1,419 1,391 1,451 1,275 945 1,275 1,301 1,077 1,301 1,077 1,301 1,077 1,305 1,157 1,182	D16 Control 2016 2016 2018 3 2019 3 2018 2 2019 3 2018 3 2019 3 2018 3 2019 3 2018 3 2019 3 </td <td>201 <u>Ointon</u> 1,357 1,370 1,783 1,920 2,257 831 1,940 1,604 1,572 1,237 2,307 1,520 1,650 846 837 1,666</td> <td>6 Presider <u>Trump</u> 2,201 1,548 938 1,947 2,029 475 1,876 768 1,876 1,670 340 340 343 546 1,435 1,436 1,522</td> <td>ttial Resul Other 157 146 137 68 104 84 119 127 79 72 138 54 111 136 118 127</td> <td>ts <u>Total</u> 3,715 4,2836 2,800 2,811 1,991 2,818 1,991 2,484 2,736 2,481 2,784 2,484 2,785 2,481 2,484 2,785 2,484 2,484 2,484 2,484 2,484 2,484 2,485 2,484 2,485 2,484 2,484 2,484 2,485 2,</td> <td>Election Day 1,239 807 1,019 845 845 845 845 845 845 845 845 845 845</td> <td>2016 Voting Me <u>Early Voting</u> 2,215 1,059 1,954 2,069 1,954 2,069 1,954 2,107 1,954 1,615 1,645 1,645 1,645 1,671 1,484 1,638 1,357</td> <td>thod Mail 261 263 178 263 278 263 278 263 278 263 278 278 278 278 278 278 278 278 278 278</td> <td>Tctal 3,715 3,054 3,054 3,054 3,055</td> <td>50%</td> <td>6 Cirton 37% 37% 35% 35% 35% 25% 25% 55% 55% 55% 55% 30% 25% 30% 25% 35% 35% 35% 35% 35% 35% 35% 35% 35% 3</td> <td>Strate Strate Strate 41% 31% 31% 42% 42% 42% 11% 42% 43% 48% 6% 6% 13% 40% 6% 40% 40% 40% 41% 11% 11%</td> <td>5 Trump 5 15% 5 15% 5 13% 6 3% 7 70% 1 7% 6 28% 6 2% 5 9% 6 2% 5 5% 6 2% 5 5%</td> <td>Metrics <u>% Election (</u> 33% 29% 29% 23% 33% 33% 33% 33% 33% 33% 22% 23% 24% 22% 24% 24% 24% 24% 24% 24% 24% 24</td> <td><u>av 5 Park Bord 7</u> n/a n/a n/a 735 706 n/a 735 706 n/a 735 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0</td> <td>E<u>5</u> %<u>5 Park Bord Voted</u> n/s n/s 81% n/s n/s 96% n/s 92% 96% n/s 92% 96% n/s 92% 06% n/s 92% 92% 92% 92% 92% 92% 92% 92% 92% 92%</td>	201 <u>Ointon</u> 1,357 1,370 1,783 1,920 2,257 831 1,940 1,604 1,572 1,237 2,307 1,520 1,650 846 837 1,666	6 Presider <u>Trump</u> 2,201 1,548 938 1,947 2,029 475 1,876 768 1,876 1,670 340 340 343 546 1,435 1,436 1,522	ttial Resul Other 157 146 137 68 104 84 119 127 79 72 138 54 111 136 118 127	ts <u>Total</u> 3,715 4,2836 2,800 2,811 1,991 2,818 1,991 2,484 2,736 2,481 2,784 2,484 2,785 2,481 2,484 2,785 2,484 2,484 2,484 2,484 2,484 2,484 2,485 2,484 2,485 2,484 2,484 2,484 2,485 2,	Election Day 1,239 807 1,019 845 845 845 845 845 845 845 845 845 845	2016 Voting Me <u>Early Voting</u> 2,215 1,059 1,954 2,069 1,954 2,069 1,954 2,107 1,954 1,615 1,645 1,645 1,645 1,671 1,484 1,638 1,357	thod Mail 261 263 178 263 278 263 278 263 278 263 278 278 278 278 278 278 278 278 278 278	Tctal 3,715 3,054 3,054 3,054 3,055	50%	6 Cirton 37% 37% 35% 35% 35% 25% 25% 55% 55% 55% 55% 30% 25% 30% 25% 35% 35% 35% 35% 35% 35% 35% 35% 35% 3	Strate Strate Strate 41% 31% 31% 42% 42% 42% 11% 42% 43% 48% 6% 6% 13% 40% 6% 40% 40% 40% 41% 11% 11%	5 Trump 5 15% 5 15% 5 13% 6 3% 7 70% 1 7% 6 28% 6 2% 5 9% 6 2% 5 5% 6 2% 5 5%	Metrics <u>% Election (</u> 33% 29% 29% 23% 33% 33% 33% 33% 33% 33% 22% 23% 24% 22% 24% 24% 24% 24% 24% 24% 24% 24	<u>av 5 Park Bord 7</u> n/a n/a n/a 735 706 n/a 735 706 n/a 735 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	E <u>5</u> % <u>5 Park Bord Voted</u> n/s n/s 81% n/s n/s 96% n/s 92% 96% n/s 92% 96% n/s 92% 06% n/s 92% 92% 92% 92% 92% 92% 92% 92% 92% 92%

4











23